

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

13	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	)	No. CR 05-00395 CRB
14	Plaintiff,	)	[PROPOSED] ORDER EXCLUDING TIME
15	v.	)	FROM APRIL 5, 2006 TO JUNE 7, 2006
16	YOUNG JOON YANG, et al.,	)	UNDER THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT
17	Defendants	)	
18	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	)	No. CR 05-00447 CRB
19	Plaintiff,	)	
20	v.	)	
21	MISUK MOORE, et al.,	)	
22	Defendants	)	
23	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	)	No. CR 05-00613 CRB
24	Plaintiff,	)	
25	v.	)	
26	CHANG KUN KIM,	)	
27	Defendant	)	
28		)	

**ORDER**  
[CR 05-395, 05-447, 05-613, 06-101, 06-192 CRB]

1 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, ) No. CR 06-0101 CRB  
2 Plaintiff, )  
3 v. )  
4 YON SUK PANG, et al., )  
5 Defendant )  
6 \_\_\_\_\_ )  
7 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, ) No. CR 06-0192 CRB  
8 Plaintiff, )  
9 v. )  
10 ANTHONY GAR LAU et al., )  
11 Defendant )  
12 \_\_\_\_\_ )

13 On April 5, 2006, defendants in the above-captioned cases appeared, with counsel, before  
14 the Court for a status conference. Assistant United States Attorney Peter B. Axelrod appeared on  
15 behalf of the United States.

16 The parties agreed to a continuance of the matter to June 7, 2006, and a corresponding  
17 exclusion of time under the Speedy Trial Act (18 U.S.C. § 3161) to provide defense counsel with  
18 sufficient time to obtain and review discovery.

19 Accordingly, the Court HEREBY ORDERS that the time from April 5, 2006, to June 7,  
20 2006, is excluded under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161. For the reasons set forth above,  
21 the Court finds that the failure to grant the requested exclusion would deny defense counsel  
22 reasonable time necessary for effective preparation taking into account the exercise of due  
23 diligence. Further, the Court finds the exclusion warranted on complexity grounds, under 18  
24 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(B)(ii), based on the number of defendants, the number of calls on the wire  
25 and the fact that the vast majority of the calls are in the Korean language. Thus, the Court finds  
26 that the ends of justice served by granting the requested exclusion outweigh the best interest of  
27 the public and the defendant in a speedy trial and in the prompt disposition of criminal cases.  
28

ORDER  
[CR 05-395, 05-447, 05-613, 06-101, 06-192 CRB]

1 The Court therefore concludes that this exclusion of time should be made under 18 U.S.C. §§  
2 3161(h)(8)(A), (h)(8)(B)(ii) and (h)(8)(B)(iv).

3 Further, the Court ORDERS the parties to appear for a status conference on June 7, 2006,  
4 at 9:30 a.m. in Courtroom #8 on the 19<sup>th</sup> Floor of the Federal Building.

5 IT IS SO ORDERED.

6  
7 DATED: 04/12/06

